

COURSE SUMMARY REPORT

Numeric Responses

Univ. of Washington, Seattle College of Arts and Sciences Philosophy

Term: Spring 2016

Evaluation Delivery: Online Evaluation Form: F

Responses: 12/23 (52%)

PHIL 102 AB

Contemporary Moral Problems
Course type: Face-to-Face

Taught by: Stephen Hereth

Instructor Evaluated: Stephen Hereth-Predoc TA

Overall Summative Rating represents the combined responses of students to the four global summative items and is presented to provide an overall index of the class's quality:

Combined Median Adjusted Combined Median A.4 A.7

(0=lowest; 5=highest)

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI) combines student responses to several *IASystem* items relating to how academically challenging students found the course to be and how engaged they were:

CEI: 4.5

(1=lowest; 7=highest)

SUMMATIVE ITEMS

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Adjusted Median
The quiz section as a whole was:	12	42%	50%	8%				4.3	4.6
The content of the quiz section was:	12	42%	42%	8%	8%			4.3	4.6
The quiz section instructor's (QSI's) contribution to the course was:	12	50%	33%	17%				4.5	4.7
The QSI's effectiveness in teaching the subject matter was:	12	50%	50%					4.5	4.8

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

	LIVOAC	EMENT																
								Mu Hig				Average			Much Lower			
Relative	to other c	ollege co	urses you	ı have take	en:		N	_		(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	Median		
Do you ex	xpect your	grade in t	nis course	to be:			12	2		8%	25%	42%	17% 8% 4.1					
The intelle	ectual chal	lenge pres	ented was	s:			12	2 8	%	25%	42%	17%	8%					
The amou	unt of effor	t you put ir	nto this co	urse was:			12	2		33%	25%	17%	17%	17% 8% 4.8				
The amou	unt of effor	t to succe	ed in this o	ourse was	:		12	2		25%	42%	25%	8%	8% 4.9				
Your invo	lvement in	course (d	oing assig	nments, at	tending cla	asses, etc.)) 12	2		25%	25%	42%	8%		4.5			
including a	0 ,	classes, do	ing readir	ıgs, review		nis course, writing								Cla	ss med	lian: 6.0	(N=12)	
Under 2	2-3	•	1-5	6-7	8-9	10-11	1	12-13		14-15		16-17	18-19		20-2	0-21 22 or more		
8%	8%	2	5%	33%	17%			8%										
	total avera n advancir	0	,	w many do	you consi	der were								Cla	ss med	lian: 6.2	(N=12)	
Under 2	2-3		1-5	6-7	8-9	10-11	1	12-13		14-15	5 16-17		18-19		20-2	20-21 22 or mo		
8%	8%	2	5%	25%	25%		8	8%										
What grad	de do you	expect in t	his course	e?										Cla	ss med	lian: 3.1	(N=12)	
A (3.9-4.0)	A- (3.5-3.8) 25%	B+ (3.2-3.4) 25%	B (2.9-3.1) 33%	B- (2.5-2.8)	C+ (2.2-2.4) 8%	C (1.9-2.1) 8%	C- (1.5-1.8)	D- (1.2-	-	D (0.9-1.	1) ((D-).7-0.8)	E (0.0)	Pa	iss	Credit	No Credit	
In regard	to your ac	ademic pr	ogram, is	this course	best desc	ribed as:								_		_	(N=12)	
In your major		A core/distribution requirement An elective 33% 42%			elective		In your m				A program requiren				Other			



COURSE SUMMARY REPORT

Numeric Responses

Univ. of Washington, Seattle College of Arts and Sciences Philosophy Term: Spring 2016

STANDARD FORMATIVE ITEMS

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Relative Rank
Explanations by the QSI were:	12	42%	50%	8%				4.3	7
QSI's use of examples and illustrations was:	12	42%	50%	8%				4.3	12
Quality of questions or problems raised by QSI was:	12	42%	33%	25%				4.2	14
QSI's enthusiasm was:	12	50%	42%	8%				4.5	15
Student confidence in QSI's knowledge was:	12	50%	42%	8%				4.5	17
Encouragement given students to express themselves was:	12	50%	50%					4.5	9
Answers to student questions were:	12	42%	50%	8%				4.3	10
Interest level of quiz sections was:	12	42%	42%	8%	8%			4.3	4
QSI's openness to student views was:	12	58%	33%	8%				4.6	5
QSI's ability to deal with student difficulties was:	12	58%	33%	8%				4.6	1
Availability of extra help when needed was:	12	58%	25%	8%	8%			4.6	3
Use of quiz section time was:	12	33%	50%	8%	8%			4.2	16
QSI's interest in whether students learned was:	12	50%	33%	8%	8%			4.5	6
Amount you learned in the quiz sections was:	12	33%	58%		8%			4.2	13
Relevance and usefulness of quiz section content were:	12	42%	50%		8%			4.3	11
Coordination between lectures and quiz sections was:	12	50%	42%	8%				4.5	2
Reasonableness of assigned work for quiz section was:	12	42%	50%	8%				4.3	8
Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	11	36%	45%	9%	9%			4.2	18



COURSE SUMMARY REPORT

Student Comments

Univ. of Washington, Seattle College of Arts and Sciences Philosophy

Term: Spring 2016

Evaluation Delivery: Online

Evaluation Form: F

Responses: 12/23 (52%)

PHIL 102 AB Contemporary Moral Problems

Course type: Face-to-Face Taught by: Stephen Hereth

Instructor Evaluated: Stephen Hereth-Predoc TA

STANDARD OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Was this class intellectually stimulating? Did it stretch your thinking? Why or why not?

- 1. It stretched my thinking because it expanded what I learned from an earlier philosophy class, and exposed me to different perspectives.
- 2. Philosophical dilemmas don't really have a right answer, so it leaves lots of room for thinking and debating.
- 3. Yes section was very helpful for gaining a better understanding of the material
- 4. Yes, I thought that we discussed a lot of important topics that are good to get a grasp on.
- 5. Yes. Topic were well picked out in advance
- 6. Yes! I had never taken a philosophy class previous to 102 and did not know what to expect going in, other than the common misconception of philosophy as a dull subject. However, I was incredibly surprised at just how far philosophy extended into my everyday and will use the skills gained in the class (refined by quiz section) henceforth in my life/future decisions.
- 7. Yes, the structure of class made me question my beliefs in an objective, thought out way.
- 8. Yes, lots of different ways to view ethics that I had never considered before.
- 9. Yes it did, really had to think about the topic we have discussed in class

What aspects of this class contributed most to your learning?

- 1. The helpfulness and explanations of the instructor.
- 2. Lecture, section all good.
- 3. the TA and group discussion
- 4. Learning about how to think about and analyze arguments on controversial topics
- 5. Insight from the instructor
- 6. Quiz section! It was really helpful to apply some of the theoretical stuff we were learning in lecture to situations to see what certain philosophers would have to say in regards to certain issues.
- 7. The discussions throughout class
- 8. Instructor's enthusiasm and approachability
- 9. The quiz sections

What aspects of this class detracted from your learning?

- 1. None.
- 2. Nothing really.
- 3. tangents on non-course-related materials
- 4. I didn't know how to do philosophy right away, it took a little bit to get used to.
- 5. Having one or two people always give there opinion.
- 6. none
- 7. Those two people who consistently took over conversations
- 9. Nothing much

What suggestions do you have for improving the class?

- 2. Maybe to cover all topics before writing a paper
- 4. Not much, overall very good class.
- 5. Maybe calling on more people to add to the class. It is tough to same things when just a few are always giving a sometimes long winded response multiple time a class period.
- 6. cannot think of any
- 7. Cut off conversations after a point? Pros and cons to that though
- 9. I think the class was great it was very interesting

© 2014, IASystem, University of Washington Survey no: 158836

Printed: 6/21/16

© 2014, IASystem, University of Washington Survey no: 158836